

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,390	NAKASHIMA, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791	

All Participants:

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(2) Mr. Gallagher (Atty).

Date of Interview: 16 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims were limited to the production of a cellulose film; also, the drying while tenting was clarified in claims 1 and 15; see attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)